UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/591,662	09/05/2006	Karl Ott	295335US0PCT	3137
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAMINER	
			EASHOO, MARK	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/07/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Page 2

Applicant's arguments filed 8/16/201 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive,

because:

As applicant noted, Bruchmann teaches two methods, one using a solvent (acetone process) and the

other being a prepolymer process. It is submitted that the prepolymer process does not require an organic

solvent and that the catalyst would be capable of being incorporated into the prepolymer process as taught by

Bruchmann.

It is further submitted that Galan does not suggest that the lactones or lactams are solvents, rather

they are an extra compounds mixed with the polyol which would be present at the time of making the

prepolymer (1:45-65). These added lactones or lactams are incorporated into the from about 0.1 to 20 % of

the prepolymer (6:40-45). As such, Galan suggests that removal is not required or desired as acetone would

be in a solvent process of Bruchmann, since the lactones or lactams are a desired component or additive in

the final polymer product to improve cold temperature flexibility. Similarly, Bruchmann only teaches removal

of a solvent in the solvent process (para. 85) and allows for various other additives (para. 88). As such, it is

maintained that a person of ordinary skill in the art would have made the poluyurethane of Bruchmann, "in

the presence of" (ie. not as a solvent) a N-ethylepyrrolidone as taught by Galan in order to improve low

temperature performance of a foam coating.

Bruchmann teaches that the polyurethane dispersions may be "foams" (para. 90) which is a similar

technology of Galan as pointed out by applicant's remarks.

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Mark Eashoo at telephone

number (571)272-1197.

/Mark Eashoo/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1796

Mark Eashoo

SPE

Art Unit 1796